

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/075,088 | 02/16/2002 | Graham Lindley Spruiell | IMA-0014-OXYPAK | 7112 | |
| 7 | 590 08/29/2003 | | | | |
| Edward L. Kelley | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 5 Utica Street Lexington, MA | 02420 | | MENDOZA, M | MENDOZA, MICHAEL G | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3761 | 0 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003 | 9 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | | MA | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/075,088 | SPRUIELL, GRAHAM LINDLEY | | | | | |
| ravicely reason | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Michael G. Mendoza | 3761 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | • | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of which the petition drive been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | • | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: § | or reconsideration has been con <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> . | sidered but does N | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | ; | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-17, 19, 21,22, and 25-34</u>.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the apparatus taught by Anderson (4197842) teaches a emergency kit comprising a breathable oxygen delivery system and a nebulizer 12. The nebulizer 12 of Anderson is fully capablale of holding and delivering a medication including a medication for treatment of a vascular disease.